

# Additional Support for Rep. James' Biden EPA Tailpipe Emissions Rule CRA:

Statements of Support:

#### **American Petroleum Institute:**

"Americans have made clear over the past year that they value the freedom to purchase a vehicle that's best for them. Rather than promoting the interests of consumers, the Biden administration's tailpipe rule will make most new gas-powered vehicles unavailable to Americans while forcing a greater reliance on foreign supply chains. We welcome Rep. James' efforts to provide Congress the opportunity to protect consumers, American jobs and U.S. national security by overturning this deeply flawed regulation." — Mike Sommers, API President and CEO

## **Advancing American Freedom:**

"The Biden administration's electric vehicle mandate drives American consumers into the arms of China, hurts families, and upends the constitutional system for political accountability. Congress must act immediately to overturn this reckless rule issued by the leftist ideologues that run the EPA."

## **American Energy Alliance:**

"Americans should be free to choose the types of cars and trucks that make the most sense for them. If President Biden wants to ban gas powered vehicles he should put the question up for a vote in Congress, not hide behind government agents at the EPA. We applaud Congressman James for leading the effort to save our cars."

## **Americans for Prosperity:**

"EPA's final rule that seeks to regulate tailpipe emission standards for sedans, SUVs, and small trucks made between 2027 and 2032 are an attempt to indirectly mandate something Congress and the American people never agreed to: a top-down, government-led electric vehicle transition. By its own estimation, EPA believes that by 2032, this rule will require 30-56% of new cars to be completely electric, with the remainder of new cars being a mix of hybrid and gas-powered. If ever there was a regulation that needed to be halted through the Congressional Review Act, this is at the

top of the list. AFP strongly encourages Congressional action to overturn this misguided and unwelcome regulation."

#### American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers:

"EPA's regulation banning most new gas-powered cars in the next eight years is unlawful, unamerican and tramples on consumer freedom. This regulation is bad for families, our economy and U.S. national security. It will increase the cost of new cars, putting them out of reach for many families; it will threaten American jobs and make our country more dependent on China for batteries and minerals. The House of Representatives must act swiftly to rein in EPA's overreach, so we are grateful to Representative John James and his nearly-hundred other cosponsors for standing up for Michigan drivers and all Americans by introducing a Congressional Review Act (CRA) resolution to overturn EPA's ban." - Chet Thompson, AFPM President and CEO

## **Citizens for Responsible Energy Solutions:**

"Limiting consumer choice will not reduce global emissions," said Citizens for Responsible Energy Solutions (CRES) President Heather Reams. "The EPA's tailpipe rule ties the hands of hard-working Americans and ignores the benefits of hybrids, liquid fuels and American innovation in the automotive sector. CRES opposes the EPA tailpipe rule because it will result in rising costs and supports Rep. John James' leadership in introducing a CRA measure that would stop the rule in its tracks." — Heather Reams, CRES President

## **Independent Women's Voice:**

"The Biden's administration's EV mandate is unpopular and forces Americans to abandon reliable cars for a supposed green alternative. Moreover, there's no EV euphoria or market demand for net-zero cars. Consumers - not the government - are best equipped to make vehicle choices. Independent Women's Voice is supportive of the CRA to undo this misguided rule." - Gabriella Hoffman, Director of the Center for Energy and Conservation

#### **NFIB:**

"NFIB applauds Senator Ricketts and Representative James for introducing this resolution to overturn the Biden Administration's attempt to create a de facto electric vehicle mandate, which would limit consumer choices and increase costs for small businesses. NFIB represents approximately 300,000 small and independent businesses across the country, many of which are based in rural areas. These rural areas lack the infrastructure necessary to accommodate electric vehicles. Small business owners like plumbers, electricians, and landscapers use their vehicles, including pick-up trucks and some vans, as offices on wheels, and any disruption to their day for long charging times is lost business that impacts their families and employees. This burdensome rule will increase costs for small businesses at a time when inflation continues to be the top problem affecting small businesses."

## **Specialty Equipment Market Association (SEMA):**

"SEMA thanks Senator Ricketts and Representative James for introducing the CRA to overturn the EPA's tailpipe emissions regulations for motor vehicles, which threatens the American people's ability to choose the type of vehicle that best serves their needs. This regulation puts the government's thumb on the scale favoring one technology over all others. This is the wrong approach to reducing motor vehicle emissions. The EPA needs to start over and take an 'all of the above' approach to vehicle technology, incentivize innovation, and allow the market to determine the best ways to reach improved emissions goals." – Mike Spagnola, CEO, Specialty Equipment Market Association

## **Taxpayer Protection Alliance:**

"We are proud to support Rep. John James' effort to overturn the Biden electric vehicle mandate through the Congressional Review Act. Disguised as a regulation on tailpipe emissions, the new rule put forward is so stringent that it would establish a de-facto electric vehicle mandate. Consumers should always be able to choose how they spend their money, and the market should adapt to that demand. However, the Biden administration has shown its willingness to limit consumer choice, manipulating the market in pursuit of its own ideological agenda."

## **American Commitment:**

"American Commitment strongly supports Rep. John James's CRA because Joe Biden's EPA electric vehicle mandates will ban most Americans from buying the gas cars and trucks they want – by abusing the Clean Air Act and making a mockery of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act. The EPA should not be deciding what kinds of vehicles Americans can buy, and Congress has a duty to stop them by passing the CRA."

#### **American Values:**

"The Biden administration's EV mandate is yet another attempt by the radical left to overregulate the free market, limit the choices of the American people, and give unelected bureaucrats in Washington more power and more control over our lives. It is another progressive assault on freedom. It is more corporate welfare and more subsidies for coastal elites at the expense of working-class Americans. Congress must overturn this inefficient and outrageous mandate."

### **Eagle Forum:**

"It's outrageous that the Biden Administration is telling the moms of America they can't drive the car of their choice. This regulation will force more Americans into expensive electric vehicles that sales data shows they don't want. Moms are mainly responsible for car-pools and grocery shopping and the EV mandate will make every trip more costly. Eagle Forum is happy to endorse this legislation to protect consumer choice in automobiles. The mothers across America thank Rep. John James for driving this issue forward."

#### **Job Creators Network:**

"America's small business owners have spoken. According to Job Creators Network Foundation's SBIQ Poll, Main Street overwhelmingly believes consumer demand, not government mandates, should determine how many electric vehicles are manufactured each year. JCN applauds Representative James for his legislation to overturn the Biden Administration's ill-advised and costly new electric vehicle

mandate."

## Project 21:

"EVs are not practical as a household's sole vehicle, which is all many struggling families can afford. Indeed, upward of 90 percent of EVs sit in the driveways of multicar households next to one or more gasoline-powered cars that are typically driven more miles. The EV agenda may be in fashion with those on the upper rungs of the economic ladder, where such virtue signaling is in vogue. Still, it is badly out of touch with the realities of those lower down. The average new gasoline-powered vehicle goes for \$48,000 — higher than the average Black household income of \$46,400 annually — putting it out of reach for low-income and most middle-class households. Used-car prices have risen in tandem. The administration calculated that carrots for EVs alone won't achieve their all-electric transition and that bigger and bigger sticks are needed to drive gasoline vehicles out of the market. And the poor, who already suffer financially because of energy poverty, are disproportionately paying the price."

#### **Rio Grande Foundation:**

"Electric vehicles simply are not a viable option for many New Mexicans. We have the 5th-largest state by geography with a small population that is spread across the state. Many rural areas simply cannot use EV's for the work that needs to be done on the farm or with livestock. And, New Mexico's Native population, especially the Navajo, often do not have access to reliable electricity (while living in rural areas and needing reliable transportation)."

## **Tea Party Express:**

"The Biden Administration has been overburdening businesses and consumers with high taxes and unreasonable and burdensome regulations. This latest effort to sneakily ban gas cars and trucks despite the obvious ridiculous and unattainable time lines is one of worst things they have done, and it will result in levying higher costs and unworkable plans for both business and consumers."

Additional Supporting Organizations:

ALEC Action, American Energy Alliance, Americans for Tax Reform, Competitive Enterprise Institute, National Taxpayers Union, Patriot Voices, AMAC Action, American Lands Council, Less Government, National Center for Public Policy Research.